

Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-003\_Li\_007  
evaluation

**ENDF**  
**B-VII**.dev

April 26, 2017

- fudge-4.0 Warnings:

1. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections  
*crossSectionSum label 0: total (Error # 0): CS Sum.*

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 1.69%

2. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 0 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

3. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 4 (n + Li7): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

4. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 5 ((z,n)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 7 (n[multiplicity:'3'] + He4 + H1): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 8 (Li8 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 8 (Li8 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 9 (H2 + He6-s): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 10 (lump0): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

10. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 11 (lump1): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

11. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 12 (lump2): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

12. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 18 (lump3): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

13. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 24 (lump4): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

14. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 29 (lump5): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

15. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 33 (lump6): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

16. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 36 (lump7): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

17. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

*Section 38 (lump8): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

- fudge-4.0 Errors:

1. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6: n + (Li7\_e6 -> H3 + He4) / Product: n / Distribution: / angularTwo-Body - XYs2d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (5295600.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (5295640.0 -> 20000000.0)

2. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 33: n[multiplicity:'2'] + Li6 / Product: n / Distribution: / uncorrelated - angular - XYs2d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (8292900.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (8292880.0 -> 20000000.0)

3. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 33: n[multiplicity:'2'] + Li6 / Product: n / uncorrelated - energy - XYs2d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (8292900.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (8292880.0 -> 20000000.0)

4. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 35: n[multiplicity:'2'] + He4 + H2 / Product: n / Distribution: / uncorrelated - angular - XYs2d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (9978200.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (9978230.0 -> 20000000.0)

5. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 35: n[multiplicity:'2'] + He4 + H2 / Product: n / uncorrelated - energy - XYs2d: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (9978200.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (9978230.0 -> 20000000.0)

6. A summed covariance refers to another which refers back to the first which refers the second which refers to the first which refers to the ...  
*(Error # 4): Cyclic*

n-003\_Li\_007.endf: WARNING: Cyclic dependency in summed covariances for sections /covarianceSuite/section[@label

• njoy2012 Warnings:

1. This nuclide has no URR and NJOY is upset about it  
*unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No URR*

---message from unresr---mat 328 has no resonance parameters  
copy as is to nout

2. This nuclide has no URR and NJOY is upset about it  
*purrr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No URR*

---message from purrr---mat 328 has no resonance parameters  
copy as is to nout

3. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*groupr...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (0): GROUPR/conver (0)*

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 16  
only mf4/mf5 provided

4. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*groupr...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (1): GROUPR/conver (0)*  

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 24
                        only mf4/mf5 provided

```
5. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*groupr...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (2): GROUPR/conver (0)*  

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 25
                        only mf4/mf5 provided

```
6. Coefficient mismatch of some sort  
*covr...process covariance data (1): COVR/matshd (2)*  

```

---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt  328/  2 vs. mat1/mt1  328/  2
                        largest coefficient=  1.26349E+01 at index 607 616

```
7. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (2): Cov:Too many coeff.*  

```

---message from matshd--- 800 coefficients > 1
                        reset and continue.

```
8. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (3): Cov:Too many coeff.*  

```

---message from matshd--- 632 coefficients > 2
                        reset and continue

```
9. Coefficient mismatch of some sort  
*covr...process covariance data (4): COVR/matshd (2)*  

```

---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt  328/  2 vs. mat1/mt1  328/  4
                        largest coefficient= -2.84520E+01 at index 607 563

```
10. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (5): Cov:Too many coeff.*  

```

---message from matshd--- 467 coefficients > 1
                        reset and continue.

```
11. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (6): Cov:Too many coeff.*  

```

---message from matshd--- 456 coefficients > 2
                        reset and continue

```
12. Coefficient mismatch of some sort  
*covr...process covariance data (7): COVR/matshd (2)*

- ```

---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt 328/ 2 vs. mat1/mt1 328/851
                           largest coefficient= 8.81577E+00 at index 607 603

```
13. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (8): Cov:Too many coeff.*
- ```

---message from matshd--- 171 coefficients > 1
                           reset and continue.

```
14. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (9): Cov:Too many coeff.*
- ```

---message from matshd--- 152 coefficients > 2
                           reset and continue

```
15. Coefficient mismatch of some sort  
*covr...process covariance data (10): COVR/matshd (2)*
- ```

---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt 328/ 4 vs. mat1/mt1 328/851
                           largest coefficient= 1.50163E+00 at index 563 603

```
16. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance  
*covr...process covariance data (11): Cov:Too many coeff.*
- ```

---message from matshd--- 256 coefficients > 1
                           reset and continue.

```

- **xsectplotter Errors:**

1. Exception `IndexError` was thrown  
*/usr/local/lib/python2.7/site-packages/matplotlib-1.5.3-py2.7-linux-x86\_64.egg/matplotlib/font\_manager.py:2*  
*UserWarning: Matplotlib is building the font cache using fc-list. This may take a mo-*  
*ment. (Error # 2): IndexError*

`IndexError: index out of range`